

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING NOTES

Date: June 29, 2015; 2:30-5:30 pm
Location: Salt Lake County, 2001 S State Street, Room N2800
Attendees: Mayor McAdams, Chris Robinson, Andy Beerman, Peter Metcalf, Councilman Mike Peterson (for Mayor Cullimore), Mayor Dolan, Laynee Jones, Mayor Pollard, Bob Wheaton (for Nathan Rafferty), Joan Degiorgio, Ivan Marrero, Mike Wilson, Carl Fisher, Carl Fisher, Dave Whittekiend, Andrew Gruber, Bill Lee (Utah County Commission), additional attendees in audience

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

1. Welcome & Introductions
 - a. Mayor McAdams welcomed everyone and conducted introductions
 - b. Key dates/ next steps
 - i. Final Accord to be distributed this Friday and final approval on July 13 at Sandy City
 - ii. Formal signing event to follow
2. Snowbird / American Fork Land Exchange
 - a. More public dialogue is needed. Laynee Jones recommended a working group comprised of Utah County and American Fork interests be convened to identify a path forward. The Accord can reference the formation of this group as a next step.
 - b. Bill Lee (Utah County Commission) asked who would appoint the working group and stated that Utah County needs more time and information. The working group could be appointed by a Utah County representative.
 - c. Mayor McAdams requested a motion to create a working group and to continue discussions with Utah County official for appropriate representation. Mayor Becker made the motion and Chris Robinson seconded. Carl Fisher expressed concerned with delay in conversation actions and requested that we write the Accord in a way that conservation efforts are not delayed due to this issue. Mayor Becker clarified that his motion supports working through this process and American Fork issues in the next phase. *(Note that after the Executive Board meeting, it was clarified that Utah County would lead any working group or next steps on American Fork canyon).*
3. Updates
 - a. Little Cottonwood Canyon Communities meeting
 - i. Laynee Jones summarized a meeting with this group, including Patrick Leary with Salt Lake County and Mayor Cullimore. The group has three main issues:

1. Concern with transportation options, wants to see serious consideration of bus options and restriction of cars. This is the intent of the Accord.
 2. Group recommended disclosure statements for potential conflicts of interests. The disclosure form is forthcoming.
 3. There is a perception that we are being forced to evaluate certain transportation options simply because there is a link to land trades. The NEPA process will fully evaluate benefits and impacts from various transportation solutions. Grizzly Gulch being offered as mitigation for certain transportation options is only one factor, and is not intended to be the only factor. The Council on Environmental Quality recommended that the land trades and transportation options be evaluated together.
- b. Wasatch Backcountry Alliance Meeting
 - i. Laynee Jones reported that WBA, USFS, and Bob Wheaton reached agreement on how to address Honeycomb/Silverfork area in the Accord.
 - c. Federal Designations Boundary & Summit County
 - i. Chris Robinson gave an update on this. There are 3 pieces of USFS land that fall into Summit County that could be included in the new designation. Brad Barber appeared before the Summit County council. Intent from our council that we want to include our Summit County lands within the designation.
 - d. I-80 Alternatives Analysis
 - i. A meeting was held with the 6 critical stakeholders and they agreed on some language for Accord and milestones for moving forward.
 - e. Public Comment
 - i. Pat Shea: Who will be the applicant for transportation? Mayor McAdams answered that we don't know at this time.
 - ii. Onno Wieringa: I am confused between congressional land trade and administrative. Dave Whittekiend answered that the congressional designation can happen without land exchanges. We can also move forward on land exchanges outside of a congressional action. Bob Wheaton asked if each ski area individually would submit a separate application. The NEPA process would evaluate the cumulative impacts of all of the actions. Each valuation needs to equal out for each ski resort.
4. Review and Discussion on Draft Accord 3.0
 - a. Review Draft Accord 3.0
 - i. Laynee reviewed the Accord 3.0 and what changed since the last version.
 - b. Discussion from Executive Board members:
 - i. Joan DeGiorgio: no substantive issues
 - ii. Carl Fisher: Want to ensure federal designation proceeds immediately. Other than that, from my perspective things look great.

- iii. Mayor Becker: Are special management areas needed within the designation? Likely not because the federal designation bill will include all the necessary restrictions.
 - iv. Chris Robinson: How do we decide how to decide how much wilderness? The federal designation task force will recommend. The piece in BCC was removed.
 - v. Bob Wheaton: we still have mapping challenges, and need more specific mapping in next phase. Should we quantify snowmaking water for ski areas? Mayor Becker responded that was not necessary, and could vary between ski resorts.
 - vi. Mayor Pollard: Still have concerns with Alta land exchange, timing and trigger points for the process moving forward.
 - vii. Mayor McAdams: I am happy where we are. The language about regional approach to land use decisions is important to us. We recognize that HB351 provisions still need work.
 - viii. Mike Peterson: concerns with governance and funding language.
 - ix. Peter Metcalf: Congressional protection and designation are top priority. Mayor Becker pointed to section 1.5 that states we will aggressively pursue this designation.
 - x. Andy Beerman: suggest executive summary/narrative that's aspirational. Section 3.11.1 would like addition of carrying capacity study.
 - xi. Andrew Gruber: would like to participate in any evaluation of Park City to BCC transportation options.
 - xii. Chris Robinson: would like to recommend some minor wordsmithing.
 - xiii. Mike Wilson: generally supportive
- c. Public Comment:
- i. Roger Bourke: What happens if ski areas changes ownership, this doesn't bind them? What enforces this? Carl Fisher responded that the federal designation piece secures this.

These meeting notes represent the interpretation of the preparer. If you have clarifications or revisions, please contact laynee@mountainaccord.com within 48 hours of receipt and the notes will be revised and re-distributed.